#09554

EPSTEIN ARLEN, LLC

220 Davidson Avenue Suite 102 Somerset, New Jersey 08873 (732) 828-8600 Telephone

BAILEY PEAVY BAILEY

440 Louisiana Street, Suite 2100 Houston, Texas 77002 (713) 425-7100 Telephone

THIS ORDER APPLIES TO:

Attorneys for Plaintiff

: SUPERIOR COURT OF NEW JERSEY

FILED

MAY 23 2014

JUDGE JESSICAR, MAYER

: LAW DIVISION

MIDDLESEX COUNTY

MABLE ADAMS

V.

CIVIL ACTION

JOHNSON&JOHSNON COMPANY; ET AL.

: CASE CODE 274: (Risperdal/Seroquel/Zyprexa Litigation)

: DOCKET NO. MID-L-006684-06 (MT)

: [PROPOSED] ORDER REMOVING : MICHAEL W. PERRIN, ESQ. AS PRO HAC VICE

WHEREAS, plaintiffs, by and through their attorneys, Epstein Arlen LLC and Bailey Peavy Bailey PLLC, upon notice to all interested parties, have moved before this Court for the removal of Michael W. Perrin's pro hac vice status, the Court having considered the papers submitted in support thereof; and for other good cause shown.

On ______, 2014, Michael W. Perrin informed the New Jersey Lawyer's Fund for Client Protection that he is not currently appearing *pro hac vice* in any matter in New Jersey and has not done so since before January 31, 2013.

IT IS on this 21 day of May, 2014, hereby

ORDERED that the motion is granted, and Michael W. Perrin, Esq. is removed from practicing *pro hac vice* before this Court, pursuant to R. 1:21-2, for all purposes and in all proceedings connected with all cases subject to Case Management Order No. 1, it is further

ORDERED that the Clerk of this Court shall forward a copy of this Order to the Treasurer of the New Jersey Fund for Client Protection, and it is further

ORDERED that the *pro hac admission* of Michael W. Perrin, Esq. is these matters, be and hereby is WITHDRAWN, and it is further

ORDERED that a copy of this Order shall be served by the attorneys for plaintiff upon the defendant-within seven (7) days of their receipt thereof.

UNOPPOSED

HON. JESSICA R. MAYER, J.S.C.

The undersigned hereby consents to the withdrawal to practice *Pro Hac Vice* in the matters referenced above.

_____ Dated: May ____, 2014 Michael W. Perrin

"Having reviewed the above motion, I find it to be meritorious on its face and is unopposed. Pursuant to B. 1:6-2, it therefore will be granted essentially for the reasons set forth in the moving papers."